1Bartha L., Sramko G., Volkova P.A., Surina B., Ivanov A.L., Banciu H.L. Patterns of plastid 2DNA differentiation in *Erythronium* (Liliaceae) are consistent with allopatric lineage 3divergence in Europe across longitude and latitude // Plant Systematics and Evolution. 2015. 4Vol. 301. P. 1747–1758.

5

6Patterns of plastid DNA differentiation in *Erythronium* (Liliaceae) are consistent with 7allopatric lineage divergence in Europe across longitude and latitude

8

9László Bartha^{1,6}, Gábor Sramkó², Polina A. Volkova³, Boštjan Surina⁴, Alexander L. Ivanov⁵, 10Horia L. Banciu^{1,6}

11

12¹Laboratory of Molecular Environmental Biology, Molecular Biology Center, Institute for 13Interdisciplinary Research in Bio-Nano Sciences, Babeş-Bolyai University, 42 August

14Treboniu Laurean Street, 400271 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

15²MTA-ELTE-MTM Ecology Research Group, Pázmány Péter sétany, 1/C, H-1117, Budapest, 16Hungary

17³Moscow South-West High School N 1543, 26 Bakinskikh komissarov Street, 3-5, 119526, 18Moscow, Russia

19⁴Faculty of Mathematics, Natural Sciences and Information Technologies, University of 20Primorska, 8 Glagoljaška Street, SI-6000, Koper, Slovenia

21⁵Department of Botany, North Caucasian Federal University, 1 Pushkin Street, 355009, 22Stavropol, Russia

236 Faculty of Biology and Geology, Babeş-Bolyai University, 5-7 Clinicilor Street, 400006,

24Cluj-Napoca, Romania

25

26Corresponding author: László Bartha, lbartha.ubbcluj@yahoo.com

3
4
27

28Running title: Chloroplast phylogeography of the genus *Erythronium* in Europe

31Little attention has been paid so far to the genetic legacy of the oceanic-continental gradient 32across Europe. Due to this gradient steppe regions become more extensive and mesic 33 environments become scattered towards East. The temperate mesophilic plant, *Erythronium* 34*dens-canis* (Liliaceae), is widespread in southern Europe with a distribution gap in the 35Pannonian Plain. Moreover, the large disjunction between *E. dens-canis* and its sister species, 36*E. caucasicum*, seems to partially overlap the Pontic steppe regions. By applying range-wide 37sampling to *E. dens-canis* and limited sampling of *E. caucasicum*, we explored their 38chloroplast DNA phylogeography using the plastid regions *rpl*32-*trn*L and *rps*15-*ycf*1. A 39striking phylogeographic structure emerged based on three major phylogroups: a Caucasian 40lineage, a highly structured and narrowly distributed Transylvanian lineage, and a more 41homogenous and widely distributed 'non-Transylvanian' lineage. Both physiographic 42(mountain) and climatic (steppe) barriers appear to have caused allopatric differentiation in 43European Erythronium. The Southern Carpathians constitute a latitudinal barrier and the 44Pannonian Plain a longitudinal barrier between the Transylvanian and 'non-Transylvanian' 45lineages of *E. dens-canis*, whereas the Eastern Carpathians and the Pontic steppe regions act 46as a longitudinal barrier between the *E. dens-canis* and *E. caucasicum lineages*. The eastern 47Carpathian Basin likely functioned as a combination of cryptic eastern (mesic) and cryptic 48northern refugia for *E. dens-canis* during glacial periods or at least during the Last Glacial 49Maximum. Subclades of the Transylvanian lineage conform to the refugia within refugia 50scenario. Two geographically deviating haplotypes of the Transylvanian lineage were 51 recovered from a population close to the Adriatic coast and form a separate subclade likely 52resulting from ancient long-distance dispersal. The results reinforce the role of the Carpathian 53Basin in promoting differentiation in temperate species and serving as a glacial refuge for

8

54them. Steppe-dominated gaps in the range of the genus *Erythronium* are mirrored by genetic 55 discontinuities along longitudes thus highlighting a biogeographic role of the oceanic-56continental gradient throughout Europe.

57

58Keywords: Carpathian Basin, cryptic northern refugia, long distance dispersal, oceanic-59continental gradient, phylogeography, temperate species

62The Quaternary history of temperate European biota is currently viewed in the light of two 63main theories. The 'southern richness versus northern purity' paradigm was the leading 64theory of the 1990s to explain the location of glacial survival and postglacial recolonisation of 65temperate European species. This model assumes survival in southern European (i.e. Iberian, 66Apennine and Balkan) peninsulas during Pleistocene glacial times which often led to genetic 67 differentiation of species along geographical longitudes mirroring these peninsulas. The 68above model also postulated a northward expansion and postglacial recolonisation of 69deglaciated areas resulting in genetically more depauperate populations in the north relative to 70those in the south due to the leading edge effect. More recently, another theory has gained 71 ground, namely that of 'cryptic northern refugia' of temperate species during glacial periods . 72This theory envisages glacial survival in 'microclimatically favourable pockets' at higher 73geographical latitudes along widespread European longitudes such as Western Europe, 74Central Europe and the Carpathian region . Exact locations, as well as, boundaries of such 75northerly refugial areas, however, are poorly understood especially for Central-East Europe 76partly because of the paucity of (at least) densely sampled studies and partly because of the 77post-glacial dispersal of species potentially blurring ancient refugial patterns. 78Alpine plant phylogeography covering several European mountain ranges in the last decade 79usually had a strong longitudinal component (e.g. . Contrary to this, temperate plant 80phylogeography has rarely uncovered longitudinal differentiation patterns in a wide East-81West dimension across areas north of the southern European peninsulas. Thus, it is still poorly 82understood to what extent the oceanic-continental gradient impacted the biogeography of 83temperate species in Europe during the Quaternary. Due to this gradient, steppic regions (e.g. 84Pannonian Plain, Pontic steppe region) become more extensive and mesic environments

12

85become more scattered towards East. Since the steppes were even more extensive during 86Quaternary glaciations, it can be hypothesised that they acted as barriers over longer times 87for mesophilic species, so that the main phylogeographic splits should follow them. 88According to our knowledge nobody has yet tested this hypothesis using plant species.

In this study we aimed to explore the genetic structure of a temperate plant species 90across a wide East-West dimension. More specifically, we wanted to test whether a species 91which can be found in mesic environments may have been distributed disjunctly in cryptic 92mesic refugia separated during glacial periods by regions characterised by more continental 93climate. To test this hypothesis we studied the Dog's tooth violet (*Erythronium dens-canis* 94L.), a typical mesic deciduous forest geophyte, for the following reasons: (i) the species is 95widespread in Europe from the Atlantic coast to western Turkey with distribution gaps in 96(ecologically unsuitable) steppe regions (Hungarian Plain and Pontic steppe regions). (ii) *E*. 97*dens-canis* is a myrmecochorous species with a consequent limited seed dispersal capacity 98and limited seed dispersal supports long-term preservation of phylogeographic structure.

In our study we specifically addressed the following questions: (1) Does *E. dens-canis* 100exhibit a phylogeographic structure across its wide longitudinal distribution in Europe? If so, 101is it likely that the Pannonian gap in the species range, as well as, the large geographical 102disjunction between *E. dens-canis* and its sister species, *Erythronium caucasicum* Woronow, 103contributed to this structure? (2) Does *E. dens-canis* exhibit a pattern of genetic differentiation 104reflecting survival in cryptic northern glacial refugia? If so, could the boundaries (or at least 105the southern limit) of such northern refugia be traced? In an effort to answer these questions 106we surveyed nucleotide DNA sequence variation in the plastid *rpl32-trnL* and *rps15-ycf*1 107intergenic spacer (IGS) regions among *E. dens-canis* populations sampled from most of the 108species' range and additionally among a limited number of *E. caucasicum* samples.

111Plant sampling

112Leaves of *E. dens-canis* and *E. caucasicum* were collected from 52 and four populations, 113respectively (Table 1, Fig. 1). In the case of *E. dens-canis*, samples were collected from 114throughout much of its distribution. One sample per population was analysed except for the 115Croatian population "18" for which confirmatory analysis was necessary from a second 116sample (see Results section). Leaf samples were dried/stored either in silica gel, alcohol or by 117being pressed between absorbent paper. Voucher specimens (where appropriate) are stored in 118herbaria BC, CL, NHMR, SANT, ZAGR (Table 1). Three 'distant outgroups', *Erythronium* 119*sibiricum* (Fisch. et C.A.Mey.) Krylov, *Erythronium japonicum* Decne and *Amana edulis* 120(Miquel) Honda, were selected (Table 1) based on the results of previous large-scale 121phylogenetic studies .

122DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing

123Total genomic DNA was extracted from dried leaf fragments using the ZR Plant/Seed DNA 124kit (Zymo Research). Scarcelli et al. tested a set of 100 primer pairs in 13 species of 125Monocotyledons and observed that the most variable loci were located in the Small Single 126Copy (SSC) region of the plastid genome. We tested seven primer pairs out of those used by 127Scarcelli et al. , which flanked DNA regions that were located in the SSC and contained 128intronic or intergenic spacer portions. The seven regions tested were as follows: *rps*15-*ycf*1 129IGS, *ycf*1-*rrn*5 IGS, *ndh*A Intron, *ndh*G-*ndh*I IGS, *psa*C-*ndh*G IGS, *ccs*A-*ndh*D IGS, *ndh*F-130*rpl*32 IGS. Additionally, we tested the region *rpl*32-*trn*L IGS with the primers of Shaw et 131al. . After the screen of these regions in a preliminary sample set we selected the *rpl*32-*trn*L 132IGS and *rps*15-*ycf*1 IGS regions for subsequent phylogeographic analysis. Polymerase chain 133reaction (PCR) was performed in 25 µl reaction volumes containing 12.5 µl 2x MyTaq Red

16

134Mix (Bioline), 8.5 µl dd water, 1 µl of each primers (10 µM) and 2 µl DNA-template solution 135of unknown concentration. DNA amplification was performed in a Gradient Palm-Cycler[™] 136(Corbett Research) using the following parameters: an initial 4 min denaturation at 94 °C, 137followed by 40 cycles of 30 sec at 94 °C, 45 sec annealing at 61 °C, 45 sec extension at 72 °C, 138and a final extension for 7 min at 72 °C. Success of PCR was checked by agarose-gel 139electrophoresis. PCR products were column-purified using the PCR Purification Kit of Jena 140Biosciences. Sequencing was performed by Sanger method at Macrogen Inc. (The 141Netherlands) using the reverse primer for the region *rpl*32-*trn*L and the forward primer for the 142region *rps*15-*ycf*1. Sequence reads were clear and unambiguous in all cases except for four 143*rpl*32-*trn*L samples where additional sequencing efforts were needed with the forward primer 144to reconstruct the whole region.

145Haplotype calling and their parsimony network reconstruction

146Sequences were aligned manually in MEGA5.2 . One inverted motif (TATTCTAT) randomly 147occurred in outgroup and ingroup *rpl32-trnL* sequences whereas one inversion 148(ATGTTTGAAATA) occurred in the *Amana edulis rps*15*-ycf*1 sequence. These were reverse-149complemented prior to any analyses. Because exploratory phylogenetic analyses suggested an 150unresolved relationship between *E. caucasicum* and certain intraspecific groups of *E. dens*-151*canis*, definition of haplotypes was based on these two species in concert. Haplotype calling 152and the simultaneous parsimony network reconstruction was performed in TCS at 95% 153connection limit without taking into consideration gaps and structural mutations due to their 154putative homoplasious nature.

155Phylogenetic tree and molecular clock analyses of haplotypes

156After haplotype definition, alignments were made with sequences of *E. sibiricum*, *E.* 157*japonicum* and *A. edulis* for phylogeny reconstruction. Phylogenetic tree analyses were based 158on maximum likelihood, parsimony and Bayesian criteria. Because preliminary phylogenetic

159analyses based on individual *rpl*32-*trn*L and *rps*15-*ycf*1 datasets yielded congruent topologies, 160incongruence between these was not tested statistically. Maximum likelihood (ML) analysis 161was based on RAxML using the RAxML GUI version 1.2 under the GTR + Γ model of 162sequence evolution (as recommended by the RAxML manual). Support for the nodes of the 163ML topology was assessed via the rapid bootstrap algorithm implemented in RAXML 164employing 500 replicates . Maximum parsimony analysis was run in *PAUP** and relied on 165heuristic search using 1000 random addition of sequence replicates and TBR branch swapping 166with MULTREES option in effect, MAXTREES set to 15,000 (without possibility of 167 increasing the tree buffer) and a limit of ten trees retained for each iteration step. The 168statistical robustness of tree branches was estimated via bootstrapping; 1000 pseudo-replicates 169were performed in PAUP* with MAXTREES re-set to 1000 and with the retention of one tree 170per replicate. Prior to Bayesian analysis, MrModeltest v2. was used to select the nucleotide 171substitution models (under the Akaike Information Criterion) which best fitted each plastid 172dataset (Suppl. Table S1). Bayesian analysis relied on a partitioned dataset and involved two 173simultaneous runs of 6,000,000 generations of Monte Carlo Markov chains by saving every 174one thousandth tree and with chain heating parameter set to 0,2. Each run employed four 175simultaneous chains. After checking convergence in Tracer 1.5, i.e. effective sample sizes 176(ESS) were >5000, a 50% majority-rule consensus phylogram was generated in MrBayes 3.2 177 with a 'burn-in' of 1500 trees (25%).

178To obtain a proxy on the timing of coalescence of the main *E. dens-canis/E. caucasicum* 179lineages, we performed synthetic dating across a range of substitution rates (0,001–0,005 180substitutions/site/my) that had been tentatively selected in similar studies . Our slowest rate 181(0,001 subst/site/my) is relatively close to the rate (0,0008 subst/site/my) calculated by 182<u>Yamane et al. (2006)</u> for indel evolution in certain monocot species and arbitrarily applied by 183<u>de Lima et al. (2014)</u> in the monocot genus *Mauritia*. We opted for this rate-exploratory 184approach because there was a lack of information on the age of the split between *Amana* and 185*Erythronium*, or indeed pollen or macrofossil evidence for *Erythronium*, which could have 186been used for calibrating substitution rates more directly. Dating relied on Beast v.1.8.1 , 187using a strict clock method and the substitution models already applied in case of the 188MrBayes analysis. We assumed a strict clock model because a pilot analysis using an 189uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock model resulted in a value of the ucld.stdev parameter 190close to zero (0.2), a strategy that is commonly encountered in the literature. Input data files 191were created in BEAUti v.1.8.1 and included only the *E. dens-canis* and *E. caucasicum* 192haplotype sequences. A coalescent tree prior was used and rates were set as priors. Markov 193chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains were run for 10 million generations, sampling every 1941000th generation. Log files were analysed using TRACER v1.6.0 to assess convergence and 195confirm that effective sample size for all parameters was above 200. A maximum-credibility 196tree was produced using treeAnnotator v.1.8.1 with a burn-in of 2500 trees.

197Molecular diversity and population demographic analyses of phylogroups

198DnaSp 5 was used to estimate indices of genetic diversity (number of haplotypes, h; 199haplotypic diversity, Hd; number of polymorphic sites, S; and nucleotide diversity, π) for the 200main intraspecific groups of *E. dens-canis*. To detect possible signs of a past demographic 201expansion, we calculated Tajima's D and Fu's F_s statistics in Arlequin 3.5 . Evidence for 202population expansion may come from significantly negative values of D and F_s . Significance 203was determined by a permutation test of 1000 permutations in Arlequin.

204

207A total of 122 *rpl*32-*trn*L and *rps*15-*ycf*1 sequences were newly generated for the study. 208Summary statistics of sequences are presented in Electronic Supplementary Material 1. 209Sequence alignment file used for the phylogenetic analysis is available in TreeBASE under 210the study number 16526. GenBank accession numbers of sequences are listed in Table 1.

211Number, structuring and distribution of haplotypes

212TCS analysis retrieved 29 *E. dens-canis* and three *E. caucasicum* haplotypes (Table 1, Fig. 2). 213The haplotype network showed pronounced structuring (Fig. 2). Combining information on 214the geographic origin of haplotypes and the network topology led to the recognition of three 215main haplogroups: (i) a Caucasian group (*E. caucasicum*), (ii) a group containing haplotypes 216broadly from most of the distribution range of *E. dens-canis*, i.e. from the Atlantic coast to the 217southern slopes of Southern Carpathians, but excluding most samples from Transylvania, (iii) 218a Transylvanian group which also contained two haplotypes (H23, H24) from 'non-219Transylvanian' samples (i.e. from a single Croatian population, pop. "18") (Figs. 1 and 2, 220Table 1). The 'non-Transylvanian' haplogroup is typically star-shaped with the most frequent 221central haplotype, H1 found throughout the entire range, connected through few mutational 222steps to more rare haplotypes (Fig. 2). The Transylvanian haplogroup exhibits a different 223pattern and is markedly more structured than the 'non-Transylvanian' one. The relationship of 224the Caucasian haplogroup to the Transylvanian and 'non-Transylvanian' haplogroups was not 225resolved by the network analysis.

226Haplotype phylogeny and molecular clocks

227All of the methods used in phylogenetic reconstruction yielded highly congruent topologies. 228A Bayesian majority-rule consensus phylogeny is presented in Fig. 3. *Erythronium sibiricum* 229branches before a well supported clade that includes an unresolved trichotomy formed by *E*.

230*caucasicum*, and the Transylvanian and 'non-Transylvanian' lineages of *E. dens-canis*. 231Whereas relationships between haplotypes within the 'non-Transylvanian' lineage of 232haplotypes were mostly unresolved, in the Transylvanian lineage there was a polytomy of 233four moderately to well-supported clades (t1-t4, Fig. 3) three of which were confined to 234Transylvania (Fig. 1c), whereas the fourth (t4) comprised the two haplotypes found in the 235Croatian population "18" (Fig. 1a). Repeat analyses of samples from this Croatian population 236(from DNA extraction to sequence generation) were conducted at a different laboratory 237(University of Debrecen) and yielded the same results.

238Exploratory dating of the phylogeny indicated that the Caucasian, Transylvanian and 'non-239Transylvanian' lineages split from their common ancestor between 0.93 Mya (95% HPD 240interval 0.63 to 1.33 – assuming the fastest rate) and 4.66 Mya (95% HPD interval 3.12 to 2416.66 – assuming the slowest rate) (Electronic Supplementary Material 2).

242Lineage diversity and population demographics

243Indices of genetic diversity (Hd, S, π) and population demographic statistics for the 244recognised lineages within *E. dens-canis* are summarized in Table 2. The Caucasian clade was 245omitted from these tests because of its small sample size. Genetic diversity was considerably 246higher in the Transylvanian than in the 'non-Transylvanian' lineage despite the lower sample 247size of the former (Table 2), while Tajima's D and Fu's F_s statistics were negative and 248significant only for the 'non-Transylvanian' lineage (Table 2).

250Allopatric lineage divergence in European Erythronium

251Lineage differentiation in European *Erythronium* appears to have been heavily influenced by 252allopatric divergence (vicariance). Thus, on the basis of the geographical distribution of 253haplogroups (Fig. 1), the Eastern and Southern Carpathians, as well as the Pannonian Plain, 254would seem to have greatly impacted the formation of the Transylvanian lineage, whereas the 255Ukrainian and Russian (Pontic) steppes were likely to have acted as geographical barriers in 256the origin of *E. caucasicum*. During Quaternary glaciations a more pronounced oceanic-257continental gradient existed across Europe than today which manifested in an extended 258'steppe-tundra' biome . Steppe regions most likely acted as dispersal barriers for mesic 259species as evident here from major phylogeographic splits even if the (current) extent of a 260barrier not necessarily correlates with the degree of genetic divergence (see also <u>Surina et al.</u> 261(2014)). Similarly, the partially glaciated Southern Carpathians undoubtly also acted as 262dispersal barriers for temperate species. Such climatic (steppe) and physiographic (mountain) 263barriers likely acted synergistically in the Eastern Carpathian Basin leading to a combination 264of cryptic northern and cryptic eastern mesic glacial refugia for the temperate and moisture-265needing *E. dens-canis*.

266There is currently a lack of other good examples showing genetic breaks of mesic species 267along ecologically unsuitable steppe regions in Europe such as we have found in 268*Erythronium*. As far as a north-south genetic division along a physiographic barrier is 269considered (like in case of the Southern Carpathians), a study by Huck et al. (2009) using 270AFLPs revealed a genetic division along the Alps in the temperate species *Meum* 271*athamanticum* Jacq.

272In our study Beast analysis provided a broad time frame (from the early Pliocene to the mid-273late Pleistocene) in which a most common ancestor of *E. dens-canis* and *E. caucasicum* lived

274(Electronic Supplementary Material 2). This prevented us from making precise estimates of 275the age of lineages of European *Erythronium*. In any case, establishment of these lineages 276might be linked with the disruption of the temperate, broad-leaved (mesophytic) forests which 277were once widely distributed across the Northern Hemisphere and became fragmented during 278aridification in the late Tertiary and during Quaternary glaciations . The genus *Erythronium* 279has already been mentioned in this context by <u>Allen et al. (2003)</u>.

280Quaternary glacial survival in the Eastern Carpathian Basin

281Since Stewart and Lister (2001) introduced the 'cryptic northern refugia' concept, the number 282of studies dealing with extra-Mediterranean refugia of temperate animal and plant species in 283Europe has continually increased . Some of the plant species for which cryptic northern 284refugia has been previously suggested include *Fagus sylvatica* L., *Meum athamanticum* Huck 285et al. (2009) or *Cyclamen purpurascens* Mill . The present study adds to the growing body of 286evidence by reporting a deep genetic lineage almost exclusively confined to a well delimited 287 region (the Eastern Carpathian Basin) that can be best interpreted as an *in situ* glacial extra-288Mediterranean refugium for a temperate forest herb occurring at a relatively high latitude. The 289Transylvanian lineage of haplotypes contained three well-supported subclades, t1-t3, 290suggesting a refugia-within-refugia scenario in the Carpathian Basin. Indices of genetic 291 diversity that in the Transylvanian lineage are higher than in the 'non-Transylvanian' lineage 292(both in term of haplotype and nucleotide diversities (Hd, π) (Table 2)) might also be linked 293 with a multiple microrefugia concept. The higher diversity indices in the Transylvanian 294lineage, however, may also be due to long-term large ancestral population size. The locations 295of any potential microrefugia, as well as the putative partitioning role of the Apuseni 296Mountains among lineages t1-t3 (Fig. 1c) remain to be established using denser sampling of 297populations with multiple samples collected per population.

298Previous studies addressing cryptic northern glacial survival of temperate plants in Europe 299have neglected comprehensive sampling in the Eastern Carpathian Basin despite its particular 300physiographic feature. However, a study by <u>Schmitt et al. (2007)</u> reported evidence of a 301differentiation centre for the animal species, *Erebia medusa* (D. & S.), in the eastern part of 302the Carpathian (i.e. Transylvanian) Basin, which likely resulted from the glacial survival of 303this species there during the LGM. In future, more studies, particularly on plants, should focus 304on this part of Europe and especially in the surroundings of the Apuseni Mountains where 305cryptic lineages with high conservation value might prevail in greater abundance than 306previously thought.

307The southern counterpart of the Transylvanian lineage

308In an attempt to explain the genetic homogeneity of the 'non-Transylvanian' lineage of *E*. 309*dens-canis* we pose two hypotheses that are not mutually exclusive from each other. On the 310one hand, this lineage may have experienced past demographic expansion and may have gone 311through bottlenecks in the course of such (possibly westward) expansion as suggested by the 312estimated population demographic indices (Table 2). On the other hand, a large population 313size of the lineage may have tempered its genetic differentiation . The current dataset does not 314allow us to distinguish between these phenomena as possible causes of the lack of genetic 315structure in the lineage. Additionally, we are aware of the fact that the low dispersal capability 316cannot be fully reconciled with the range expansion hypothesis. A future study should 317investigate whether intra-populational genetic diversity shows any gradient along 318geographical longitudes in this widespread species. A westward expansion hypothesis would 319be supported by a westward decline of genetic diversity as commonly found in Mediterranean 320species . Despite not showing any particular structuring associated with possible refugia, 321populations of the 'non-Transylvanian' lineage of *E. dens-canis* most probably survived the 322Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) in multiple 'southern' refugia (i.e. South of the Alps, the

³⁰

323Pannonian Lowlands and the Carpathians) as suggested for the ecologically similar *Cyclamen* 324*purpurascens* for which the foothills of the Southern Limestone Alps and the karst area of 325North-Western Dinarides were inferred as primary refugial areas.

326Origin of the deviant Adriatic Dog's tooth violet population and implications to

327 conservation

328Contrary to the possibility of the Transylvanian and 'non-Transylvanian' lineages of *E. dens*-329*canis*, as well as subclades t1-t3 of the Transylvanian lineage, originating by allopatric 330divergence, we here suggest that the occurrence of the deviant Adriatic *E. dens-canis* 331population is best viewed as a consequence of long distance dispersal. In support, the 332geographic coherence of the Transylvanian lineage is clear and this lineage has been 333reasonably well sampled in the Eastern Carpathian Basin without recovering any t4 clade-like 334haplotype from there.

335Despite the proximity of this population to the ancient settlement Rijeka, we consider that 336humans did not contribute to its founding. In our opinion, if a human-mediated origin had 337occurred, haplotypes 23 and 24 should constitute the terminals of the t1, t2 or t3 clades, but 338this was not the case. By taking into consideration the generally conservative nature of 339chloroplast DNA evolution, the establishment of the t4 lineage should have preceded the 340LGM. Additionally, plants of this population thrive in perfectly natural vegetation on slopes 341of a karst doline (N 45.38537° E 14.535749° (WGS)) adding further support to the hypothesis 342of non-human introduction.

343We propose that clade t4 is a distinct evolutionary unit with high conservation value. 344*Erythronium dens-canis* is a protected species in Croatia which may facilitate implementation 345of certain conservation strategies. <u>Médail and Diadema (2009)</u> have emphasized the 346importance of identifying areas for plant conservation at the population level in northern parts 347of the Mediterranean (e.g. in Adriatic coast) and our study has done this for *E. dens-canis*.

348Concluding remarks

349The present study documents two biogeographical oddities among the three equally ranked 350lineages of the temperate European *Erythronium*. The first concerns the genetic splits between 351*E. caucasicum* and lineages of *E. dens-canis*. The split between *E. dens-canis* and *E.* 352*caucasicum* is of similar magnitude (in terms of number of substitutions) to that between the 353lineages of *E. dens-canis* from inside and outside the Carpathians. This phenomenon (i.e. the 354depth of genetic breaks does not correlate with the strength of geographic barriers) has been 355documented previously in arctic-alpine species in this part of Europe . The second oddity 356concerns the fact that the Transylvanian lineage is much more structured than the 'non-357Transylvanian' lineage despite the former having a much smaller range than the latter. This 358highlights the fact that interconnectivity of populations could be more limited at the margins 359of the species' area than at the species 'optimal' range especially during unfavorable (e.g. 360glacial) conditions.

361Our results exemplify the recognition of Stewart et al. (2010) according to which longitudinal 362and traditional latitudinal gradients have acted in concert to create refugia for species. The 363Eastern Carpathian Basin likely provides an example for this phenomenon by combining 364signs of cryptic eastern mesic ('oceanic') and cryptic northern glacial refugia. 365Although this study has broadened our knowledge of the Quaternary history of temperate 366European biota, future studies should test whether the patterns revealed here are generally 367found across ecologically similar taxa. We further suggest that future studies should focus on 368similar forest geophytes with high ecological amplitude, limited dispersal capacity and wide 369distribution range.

372The authors are grateful to Richard Abbott (University of St. Andrews) for his scientific 373 comments and linguistic improvements on the manuscript. The constructive comments of the 374two anonymous reviewers on the manuscript also are appreciated. Special thanks go to the 375 following persons for their help in providing plant material (herbarium curators have 376herbarium codes in parentheses): Ettore Balocchi, Sergey Banketov, Csaba Bartha, Attila 377Bartók, Sorina Bartok, Sandro Bogdanović, Paolo Caciagli, Ioana Ciortan, Mihály 378Debreczeni, Simon Dubreul, Darko Ermenc, Xermán García Romai, Schivo Gianluca, Nicole 379Grau, Zsolt Hegyeli, Bogdan-Iuliu Hurdu, Neus Ibáñez Cortina (BC), Satoko Kawarasaki, 380Nevena Kuzmanović, Dominique Lanari-Menager, Ferran Llorrens, Dejan Mandjukovski, 381Patrizio Maniero, Attila Molnár V., Tetsuo Ohi-Toma (TI), David García San León (SANT), 382Nikolaj Stepanov, Andrada Pascu, Milutin Praščević, Mihai Puscas (CL), Mirko Ruščić, 383Zvone Sadar, Toni Safner, Ivan A. Schanzer (MHA), Pierre-Olivier Templier, Lorenzo Testa, 384Stefano Zerauschek. Sampling on the Russian Caucasus was partly made during Spring 385educational practices of Moscow South-West High School (No. 1543) and partly funded by 386"Sovremennoe estestvoznanie" foundation. This work was supported by a grant of the 387Romanian Ministry of National Education, CNCS – UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-388PCE-2012-4-0595.

37	
38	
389 References	

393Fig. 1 1a-1b. Geographic origin of samples analysed in the study. Numbers follow those from
394Table 1. Color codes indicate to which lineage they belong to (red: 'non-Transylvanian'
395lineage of *E. dens-canis*, white: Transylvanian lineage of *E. dens-canis* and blue: *E.*396*caucasicum*). 1c. Distribution of samples among subclades of the Transylvanian lineage of *E.*397*dens-canis*

Fig. 2 TCS parsimony network of haplotypes. Color codes follow those from Fig. 1. Size of 399circles is proportional with the number of samples they contain. Small hollow circles 400represent unsampled haplotypes

Fig. 3 Fifty percent majority-rule consensus tree of haplotypes resulting from the Bayesian 402analysis. Numbers adjacent to nodes represent ML bootstrap, MP bootstrap and BI posterior 403probability values (expressed as percentages)









